



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/439,427	11/15/1999	WILLIAM P. APPS	RPC0491	1629	
33171 - 75	590 06/10/2005		EXAMINER		
KONSTANTINE J. DIAMOND			CHEN, JOSE V		
4010 E. 26TH S LOS ANGELES			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3637		

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		Applicant(s)					
	09/439,427		APPS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	José V. Chen		3637					
The MAILING, DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cove	r sheet with the co	rrespondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, howed ply within the statutory mind d will apply and will expire ute, cause the application t	ever, may a reply be time nimum of thirty (30) days v SIX (6) MONTHS from th o become ABANDONED	y filed will be considered timely. e mailing date of this con (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03.	January 2005.							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Th								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 22-51 is/are pending in the applicati	ion.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-51</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election require	ement.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the	e attached Office A	Action or form PTC	<b>D-152</b> .				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gn priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been rece	eived.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2	?(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified c	opies not received	<b>.</b>					
; •				r				
A44-ahman4/->								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<u> </u>	Interview Summary (F	PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/18/03.	8) 5) <u></u> 6) [	Notice of Informal Part Other:	tent Application (PTO-	152)				
I S. Patent and Trademark Office	~/ L	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

Art Unit: 3637

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 22, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 30, 31, 32, 33, 36, 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wyler et al. The patent to Wyler et al teaches structure as claimed including a pallet (10) having at least one deck member (either one of Wyler's reinforcing bars 32 or Wyler's deck 14 and reinforcing bars 32 taken together), the pallet prepared by a method comprising: providing the at least one deck member having a first surface and a second surface (Wyler's reinforcing bar 32 has a top surface 34 and a bottom surface) and mechanically scuffing at least one of the first and second surfaces of the deck member to define a slip-resistant surface thereon (Wyler's top surface 34 of reinforcing bar 32 is an anti-skid surface 36 formed by roughening or knurling). The only possible distinction between Wyler and claim 36 is the limitation that at least one of the first and second surfaces of the deck member is mechanically scuffed to define a slip-resistant surface thereon. This limitation is not restricted to mechanically scuffing either the entire upper or lower surface of the deck member (e.g., Wyler's upper surface 20 of deck 14). Instead, this limitation requires only that any surface of a deck member of a pallet be mechanically scuffed to define a slipresistant surface thereon. Since Wyler's top surface 34 of reinforcing bar 32 of pallet 10

Art Unit: 3637

is roughened or knurled to form an anti-skid surface 36. The method of making limitations do not affect the product itself (i.e., the claimed pallet) and therefore cannot impact patentability to the product. The claims include product-by-process claims. Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 39-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wyler et al in view of Anderson et al. The patent to Wyler et al teaches structure substantially as claimed as discussed above including a pallet member, the only

Art Unit: 3637

difference being that the structure is not of a single material. However, the patent to Anderson et al teaches the use of providing a single material pallet member that uses roughened surfaces to provide different coefficients of friction to be old. It would have been obvious and well within the level of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the structure of Wyler et al to include the structure made of a single material, as taught by Anderson et al since such structures are conventional alternative structures used in the same intended purpose, thereby providing structure as claimed.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 01-03-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant has provided an affidavit filed under 37 CFR 1.132. The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 01/03/05 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of the claims based upon 35 USC 102b rejection under the patent to Wyler et al as set forth in the last Office action because it fails to set forth facts that are germane to the rejection at issue, the showing is not commensurate in scope with the claims. Applicant in the declaration has made conclusions and assumptions that are based on opinion.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Piper, Jr. et al, Koefelda teach structure similar to applicant's.

Art Unit: 3637

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José V. Chen whose telephone number is (571)272-6865. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f,m-th 5:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571)272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Yosé V. Chén Primary Examiner Art Unit 3637

Chen/jvc 06-02-05